#### DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

#### Kraskin, Lesse & Cosson, LLC ATTORNEYS AT LAW TELECOMMUNICATIONS MANAGEMENT CONSULTANTS

ORIGINAL

2120 L Street, N W., Suite 520 Washington, D C 20037

Telephone (202) 296-8890 Telecopier (202) 296-8893

#### RECEIVED

December 10, 2003

DEC 1 0 2003

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Office of the Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, D.C. 20554

Federal Communications Commission Office of Secretary

Petition for Clarification or, in the Alternative, Waiver, filed November 26, 2003 Re:

CC Docket No. 96-128

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On behalf of the noted companies participating in the above-captioned Petition, we are transmitting the original signed declarations for the following companies:

Adams Telephone Co-Operative LaFourche Telephone Company LLC Margaretville Telephone Company, Inc. Brantley Telephone Company, Inc. Chibardun Telephone Cooperative, Inc. North Central Telephone Cooperative, Inc. Cimarron Telephone Company **SEI Communications** Stayton Cooperative Telephone Co. Duo County Telephone Cooperative Grand River Mutual Telephone Corporation United Telephone Company

When either the Petition or supplement thereto was filed, the declarations bearing a facsimile signature were filed with the Commission as an attachment

Please associate this attachment with the captioned Petition.

Should you have any questions, please contact this office.

Sincerely.

Thomas J. Moorman

Attachments

No. of Copies rec'd\_\_ List ABCDE

The undersigned company is a rural telephone company that received a memorandum dated August 29, 2003 together with an attached invoice from APCC Services, Inc. on behalf of various Payphone Service Providers ("PSPs") that referenced the Federal Communications Commission decision from October, 2002 addressing compensation obligations to PSPs.

When dial around calls and subscriber toll-free calls are made from payphones located in the Company's service area, we automatically route those calls from our central office to the toll provider (the Regional Bell Operating Company or other Interexchange Carrier) assigned the access code or the 8XX number being dialed. This automatic routing is triggered by the end user dialing a "1" and occurs even where the call is ultimately terminated to an end user located within our service area. Once routed to the toll provider, however, the toll provider controls the routing of the call and how that call will be terminated. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Company, our company is not an intraLATA toll provider.

Accordingly, when calls are made from any pay station in our service area, it is not possible for our company to handle a call that is compensable. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Companies or other local exchange carriers that provide intraLATA services on a compensable basis, our company provides only originating access service to other carriers. With respect to the traffic associated with the invoice received from APCC, we conclude that the compensable calls were handled by the intraLATA toll provider that is assigned to the paystation; no compensable calls were handled by our company.

Date: 1/25	<u>/0</u> 3	(signature)	300	
		(printed na	<u> </u>	<u>-</u> Jr.
		(Title)	neger	<b></b>
Company Name: _	Adams 7	/g-ho	ne Co. Ope	castro
Company Address:	PO Bex	217		-
	Golden	IL	62339	
	·			_

The undersigned company is a rural telephone company that received a memorandum dated August 29, 2003 together with an attached invoice from APCC Services, Inc. on behalf of various Payphone Service Providers ("PSPs") that referenced the Federal Communications Commission decision from October, 2002 addressing compensation obligations to PSPs.

When dial around calls and subscriber toll-free calls are made from payphones located in the Company's service area, we automatically route those calls from our central office to the toll provider (the Regional Bell Operating Company or other Interexchange Carrier) assigned the access code or the 8XX number being dialed. This automatic routing is triggered by the end user dialing a "1" and occurs even where the call is ultimately terminated to an end user located within our service area. Once routed to the toll provider, however, the toll provider controls the routing of the call and how that call will be terminated. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Company, our company is not an intraLATA toll provider.

Accordingly, when calls are made from any pay station in our service area, it is not possible for our company to handle a call that is compensable. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Companies or other local exchange carriers that provide intraLATA services on a compensable basis, our company provides only originating access service to other carriers. With respect to the traffic associated with the invoice received from APCC, we conclude that the compensable calls were handled by the intraLATA toll provider that is assigned to the paystation; no compensable calls were handled by our company.

Date: November :	21, 2003			(signature)		fore
				Sue Mo	oore	
				(printed nam	ne)	
				Office	e Manager	
				(Title)		
Company Name:	Brantley	Tele	phone	Company,	Inc.	
Company Address:	P.O. Box	255				
	Nahunta,	GA S	31553			
	<u></u>					

The undersigned company is a rural telephone company that received a memorandum dated August 29, 2003 together with an attached invoice from APCC Services, Inc on behalf of various Payphone Service Providers ("PSPs") that referenced the Federal Communications Commission decision from October, 2002 addressing compensation obligations to PSPs

When dial around calls and subscriber toll-free calls are made from payphones located in the Company's service area, we automatically route those calls from our central office to the toll provider (the Regional Bell Operating Company or other Interexchange Carrier) assigned the access code or the 8XX number being dialed. This automatic routing is triggered by the end user dialing a "1" and occurs even where the call is ultimately terminated to an end user located within our service area. Once routed to the toll provider, however, the toll provider controls the routing of the call and how that call will be terminated. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Company, our company is not an intraLATA toll provider.

Accordingly, when calls are made from any pay station in our service area, it is not possible for our company to handle a call that is compensable. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Companies or other local exchange carriers that provide intraLATA services on a compensable basis, our company provides only originating access service to other carriers. With respect to the traffic associated with the invoice received from APCC, we conclude that the compensable calls were handled by the intraLATA toll provider that is assigned to the paystation, no compensable calls were handled by our company

I do hereby declare under penalties of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best

of my knowledge, information, and belief, and that I am authorized by the Company indicated below to provide this Declaration

Date: 11-34-03

Licky S. Vergin

(signature)

Licky S. Vergin

(printed name)

CEO

(Title)

Company Name: Chibardun Telephone Coppedive Ivc.

Company Address

Dallas WI 54733

The undersigned company is a rural telephone company that received a memorandum dated August 29, 2003 together with an attached invoice from APCC Services, Inc. on behalf of various Payphone Service Providers ("PSPs") that referenced the Federal Communications Commission decision from October, 2002 addressing compensation obligations to PSPs.

When dial around calls and subscriber toll-free calls are made from payphones located in the Company's service area, we automatically route those calls from our central office to the toll provider (the Regional Bell Operating Company or other Interexchange Carrier) assigned the access code or the 8XX number being dialed. This automatic routing is triggered by the end user dialing a "1" and occurs even where the call is ultimately terminated to an end user located within our service area. Once routed to the toll provider, however, the toll provider controls the routing of the call and how that call will be terminated. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Company, our company is not an intraLATA toll provider.

Accordingly, when calls are made from any pay station in our service area, it is not possible for our company to handle a call that is compensable. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Companies or other local exchange carriers that provide intraLATA services on a compensable basis, our company provides only originating access service to other carriers. With respect to the traffic associated with the invoice received from APCC, we conclude that the compensable calls were handled by the intraLATA toll provider that is assigned to the paystation; no compensable calls were handled by our company.

of my knowledge, information, and belief, and that I am authorized by the Company indicated below to

I do hereby declare under penalties of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best

The undersigned company is a rural telephone company that received a memorandum dated August 29, 2003 together with an attached invoice from APCC Services, Inc. on behalf of various Payphone Service Providers ("PSPs") that referenced the Federal Communications Commission decision from October, 2002 addressing compensation obligations to PSPs.

When dial around calls and subscriber toll-free calls are made from payphones located in the Company's service area, we automatically route those calls from our central office to the toll provider (the Regional Bell Operating Company or other Interexchange Carrier) assigned the access code or the 8XX number being dialed. This automatic routing is triggered by the end user dialing a "1" and occurs even where the call is ultimately terminated to an end user located within our service area. Once routed to the toll provider, however, the toll provider controls the routing of the call and how that call will be terminated. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Company, our company is not an intraLATA toll provider.

Accordingly, when calls are made from any pay station in our service area, it is not possible for our company to handle a call that is compensable. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Companies or other local exchange carriers that provide intraLATA services on a compensable basis, our company provides only originating access service to other carriers. With respect to the traffic associated with the invoice received from APCC, we conclude that the compensable calls were handled by the intraLATA toll provider that is assigned to the paystation; no compensable calls were handled by our company.

I do hereby declare under penalties of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best

The undersigned company is a rural telephone company that received a memorandum dated August 29, 2003 together with an attached invoice from APCC Services, Inc. on behalf of various Payphone Service Providers ("PSPs") that referenced the Federal Communications Commission decision from October, 2002 addressing compensation obligations to PSPs

When dial around calls and subscriber toll-free calls are made from payphones located in the Company's service area, we automatically route those calls from our central office to the toll provider (the Regional Bell Operating Company or other Interexchange Carrier) assigned the access code or the 8XX number being dialed This automatic routing is triggered by the end user dialing a "1" and occurs even where the call is ultimately terminated to an end user located within our service area. Once routed to the toll provider, however, the toll provider controls the routing of the call and how that call will be terminated Unlike the regional Bell Operating Company, our company is not an intraLATA toll provider.

Accordingly, when calls are made from any pay station in our service area, it is not possible for our company to handle a call that is compensable Unlike the regional Bell Operating Companies or other local exchange carriers that provide intraLATA services on a compensable basis, our company provides only originating access service to other carriers. With respect to the traffic associated with the invoice received from APCC, we conclude that the compensable calls were handled by the intraLATA toll provider that is assigned to the paystation; no compensable calls were handled by our company.

Date//-24_0	(signature)
	RENNETH P. ROBERTS (printed name)
	(Title)
Company Name.	FRAND RIVER MUTUAL TELEPHONE CORP.
Company Address	1001 KENTUCKY ST.
-	PRINCETON, MO 64673

The undersigned company is a rural telephone company that received a memorandum dated August 29, 2003 together with an attached invoice from APCC Services, Inc. on behalf of various Payphone Service Providers ("PSPs") that referenced the Federal Communications Commission decision from October, 2002 addressing compensation obligations to PSPs

When dial around calls and subscriber toll-free calls are made from payphones located in the Company's service area, we automatically route those calls from our central office to the toll provider (the Regional Bell Operating Company or other Interexchange Carrier) assigned the access code or the 8XX number being dialed. This automatic routing is triggered by the end user dialing a "1" and occurs even where the call is ultimately terminated to an end user located within our service area. Once routed to the toll provider, however, the toll provider controls the routing of the call and how that call will be terminated. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Company, our company is not an intraLATA toll provider.

Accordingly, when calls are made from any pay station in our service area, it is not possible for our company to handle a call that is compensable. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Companies or other local exchange carriers that provide intraLATA services on a compensable basis, our company provides only originating access service to other carriers. With respect to the traffic associated with the invoice received from APCC, we conclude that the compensable calls were handled by the intraLATA toll provider that is assigned to the paystation, no compensable calls were handled by our company.

I do hereby declare under penalties of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, and that I am authorized by the Company indicated below to provide this Declaration

Date 12-05-03

(signature)

Tony Duet
(printed name)

President
(Title)

Company Name: LAFourthe Telephone Company LLC

Company Address

LAROSC

LOUISIANA 70373

The undersigned company is a rural telephone company that received a memorandum dated August 29, 2003 together with an attached invoice from APCC Services, Inc. on behalf of various Payphone Service Providers ("PSPs") that referenced the Federal Communications Commission decision from October, 2002 addressing compensation obligations to PSPs.

When dial around calls and subscriber toll-free calls are made from payphones located in the Company's service area, we automatically route those calls from our central office to the toll provider (the Regional Bell Operating Company or other Interexchange Carrier) assigned the access code or the 8XX number being dialed This automatic routing is triggered by the end user dialing a "1" and occurs even where the call is ultimately terminated to an end user located within our service area. Once routed to the toll provider, however, the toll provider controls the routing of the call and how that call will be terminated Unlike the regional Bell Operating Company, our company is not an intraLATA toll provider.

Accordingly, when calls are made from any pay station in our service area, it is not possible for our company to handle a call that is compensable. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Companies or other local exchange carriers that provide intraLATA services on a compensable basis, our company provides only originating access service to other carriers. With respect to the traffic associated with the invoice received from APCC, we conclude that the compensable calls were handled by the intraLATA toll provider that is assigned to the paystation; no compensable calls were handled by our company.

I do hereby declare under penalties of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best

of my knowledge, information, and belief, and that I am authorized by the Company indicated below to provide this Declaration

Date: 11/24/03 (signature)

Dong Los Hinkley (printed name)

Gov Man

(Title)

Company Name Manganstville Talophore Co Inc.

Company Address: 8nx 260

Manganstville NY 12455

The undersigned company is a rural telephone company that received a memorandum dated August 29, 2003 together with an attached invoice from APCC Services, Inc. on behalf of various Payphone Service Providers ("PSPs") that referenced the Federal Communications Commission decision from October, 2002 addressing compensation obligations to PSPs.

When dial around calls and subscriber toll-free calls are made from payphones located in the Company's service area, we automatically route those calls from our central office to the toll provider (the Regional Bell Operating Company or other Interexchange Carrier) assigned the access code or the 8XX number being dialed. This automatic routing is triggered by the end user dialing a "1" and occurs even where the call is ultimately terminated to an end user located within our service area. Once routed to the toll provider, however, the toll provider controls the routing of the call and how that call will be terminated. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Company, our company is not an intraLATA toll provider.

Accordingly, when calls are made from any pay station in our service area, it is not possible for our company to handle a call that is compensable. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Companies or other local exchange carriers that provide intraLATA services on a compensable basis, our company provides only originating access service to other carriers. With respect to the traffic associated with the invoice received from APCC, we conclude that the compensable calls were handled by the intraLATA toll provider that is assigned to the paystation; no compensable calls were handled by our company.

I do hereby declare under penalties of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, and that I am authorized by the Company indicated below to provide this Declaration.  Date: 11/24/03 (signature)
F. Thomas Rowland (printed name)
President + CEO (Title)
Company Name: North Central Telephone Coop. Inc.
Company Address: 872 E. Hwy. 52 Bypass
P.O. Bex 70  10 Favortte TN 37083
Company Name: North Central Telephone Coop. Inc.

The undersigned company is a rural telephone company that received a memorandum dated August 29, 2003 together with an attached invoice from APCC Services, Inc. on behalf of various Payphone Service Providers ("PSPs") that referenced the Federal Communications Commission decision from October, 2002 addressing compensation obligations to PSPs.

When dial around calls and subscriber toll-free calls are made from payphones located in the Company's service area, we automatically route those calls from our central office to the toll provider (the Regional Bell Operating Company or other Interexchange Carrier) assigned the access code or the 8XX number being dialed. This automatic routing is triggered by the end user dialing a "1" and occurs even where the call is ultimately terminated to an end user located within our service area. Once routed to the toll provider, however, the toll provider controls the routing of the call and how that call will be terminated. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Company, our company is not an intraLATA toll provider.

Accordingly, when calls are made from any pay station in our service area, it is not possible for our company to handle a call that is compensable. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Companies or other local exchange carriers that provide intraLATA services on a compensable basis, our company provides only originating access service to other carriers. With respect to the traffic associated with the invoice received from APCC, we conclude that the compensable calls were handled by the intraLATA toll provider that is assigned to the paystation; no compensable calls were handled by our company.

Date: 11-24.	-03	(signatu	<u> </u>	merce
		C. R (printed	name)	NCR
		OP 8 (Title)	RATION	mgr.
Company Name:	SEI	Commi	TASING	0 N S
Company Address:	14005	U.S. H.	GL WAY	50 €.
	D.LLsbo	07. IN	47018	

The undersigned company is a rural telephone company that received a memorandum dated August 29, 2003 together with an attached invoice from APCC Services, Inc. on behalf of various Payphone Service Providers ("PSPs") that referenced the Federal Communications Commission decision from October, 2002 addressing compensation obligations to PSPs.

When dial around calls and subscriber toll-free calls are made from payphones located in the Company's service area, we automatically route those calls from our central office to the toll provider (the Regional Bell Operating Company or other Interexchange Carrier) assigned the access code or the 8XX number being dialed. This automatic routing is triggered by the end user dialing a "1" and occurs even where the call is ultimately terminated to an end user located within our service area. Once routed to the toll provider, however, the toll provider controls the routing of the call and how that call will be terminated. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Company, our company is not an intraLATA toll provider.

Accordingly, when calls are made from any pay station in our service area, it is not possible for our company to handle a call that is compensable. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Companies or other local exchange carriers that provide intraLATA services on a compensable basis, our company provides only originating access service to other carriers. With respect to the traffic associated with the invoice received from APCC, we conclude that the compensable calls were handled by the intraLATA toll provider that is assigned to the paystation; no compensable calls were handled by our company.

I do hereby declare under penalties of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best

The undersigned company is a rural telephone company that received a letter dated March 10, 2003 together with an attached invoice from APCC Services, Inc. on behalf of various Payphone Service Providers ("PSPs") that referenced the Federal Communications Commission decision from October, 2002 addressing compensation obligations to PSPs.

When dial around calls and subscriber toll-free calls are made from payphones located in the Company's service area, we automatically route those calls from our central office to the toll provider (the Regional Bell Operating Company or other Interexchange Carrier) assigned the access code or the 8XX number being dialed. This automatic routing is triggered by the end user dialing a "1" and occurs even where the call is ultimately terminated to an end user located within our service area. Once routed to the toll provider, however, the toll provider controls the routing of the call and how that call will be terminated. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Company, our company is not an intraLATA toll provider.

Accordingly, when calls are made from any pay station in our service area, it is not possible for our company to handle a call that is compensable. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Companies or other local exchange carriers that provide intraLATA services on a compensable basis, our company provides only originating access service to other carriers. With respect to the traffic associated with the invoice received from APCC, we conclude that the compensable calls were handled by the intraLATA toll provider that is assigned to the paystation; no compensable calls were handled by our company.

Date: 12/4/30	(rignature)
	HERBERT R. BIVENS
	(printed name)
	GEN. MANAGER
	(Title)
Company Name:	UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY
Company Address:	P.O. BOX 38
,	CHAPEL HILL. TN 37034

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Thomas J. Moorman, of Kraskin, Lesse & Cosson, LLC, 2120 L Street, NW, Suite 520, Washington, DC 20037, do hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing letter and attachments regarding the "Petition for Clarification or, in the Alternative, Waiver" was served on this 10th day of December 2003, to the following parties:

Thomas J. Moorman

William Maher, Chief Wireline Competition Bureau Federal Communications Commission 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW Washington, DC 20554 (Hand Delivered) Qualex International 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW Room CY-B402 Washington, DC 20554 (Hand Delivered)

Robert F. Aldrich Dickstein Shapiro Morin & Oshinsky, LLP 2101 L Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037-1526